

Missouri Juvenile Court Annual Report Calendar Year 1997

Missouri Department of Social Services P.O. Box 1527 221 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-1527

Missouri Department of Social Services Mission Statement

To maintain or improve the quality of life for the people of the state of Missouri by providing the best possible services to the public, with respect, responsiveness and accountability, which will enable individuals and families to better fulfill their potential.

Missouri Division of Youth Services Mission Statement

The mission of the Division of Youth Services is to enable youth to fulfill their needs in a responsible manner within the context of and with respect for the needs of the family and the community.

Dear Reader:

The Division of Youth Services is pleased to present to you the 1997 Missouri Juvenile Court Annual Report. This is the fifty-third annual juvenile court report written to provide pertinent statistics regarding the number and characteristics of referrals made to Missouri's juvenile courts.

We extend our appreciation to the Governor, the Missouri Legislature, the Department of Social Services, and court personnel throughout the state for their cooperation in this project. Thanks are also due to the Research and Evaluation Unit for helping to collect and assemble the data and writing this report.

Very truly yours,

Mark D. Steward Director

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW	1
Race and Gender Comparisons	1
Referral Types	2
Referral Trends	3
Referral Sources	3
Most Common Major Allegations	4
Disposition of Referrals	5
Certifications to Adult Court	7
Pre-Hearing Placements	8
LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS	9
Law Violation Outcomes	9
Prior Violations	9
Age Distribution of Law Violators	10
STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS	11
Status Offense Outcomes	11
Status Offense Placements	12
ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS	13
Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes	13
Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	13
APPENDICES:	15
A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	16
B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	18
C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	20
D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	22
E: Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit	24
F: In-Home Services by Circuit	25
G: Referrals by Type by Circuit and County	26
H: Referrals by Type and Level by Circuit	28
I: Number of Juveniles Certified to Adult Court by Circuit, Race and Gender	29
MISSOURI'S 45 JUDICIAL CIRCUITS (map)	30

CHARTS AND TABLES

Table 1.	Annual Referrals	1
Chart 1.	Total Referrals by Race and Gender	1
Table 2.	Juvenile Court Referral Statistics: 1988-1997	2
Chart 2.	Ten Year Trend of Referrals	3
Table 3.	Referral Source	3
Chart 3.	Most Common Major Allegation: Male	4
Chart 4.	Most Common Major Allegation: Female	4
Chart 5.	Disposition of Referrals	6
Chart 6.	Outcomes of Formally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 7.	Outcomes of Informally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 8.	Out-of-Home Placements	7
Chart 9.	In-Home Service Providers	7
Table 4.	Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race	7
Table 5.	Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender	8
Chart 10.	Non-Secure Placements: Type of Facility	8
Chart 11.	Non-Secure Placements: Operator of Facility	8
Chart 12.	Outcomes of Law Violation Referrals	9
Table 6.	Average Prior Law Violations for Certain Offense Categories	9
Table 7.	Law Violation Referrals per Allegation by Age	10
Chart 13.	Outcomes of Status Offense Referrals	11
Chart 14.	Status Offense Referrals by Gender	11
Table 8.	Pre-Hearing Placements: Status Offense Referrals	12
Table 9.	Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals	12
Table 10.	Referrals by Category	13
Chart 15.	Outcomes of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	13
Table 11.	Age Group of Victim	13

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW

This report is the fifty-third in a series of juvenile court statistics and the twentieth published under the direction of the Division of Youth Services (DYS) and the Department of Social Services Research and Evaluation Unit as mandated by section 219.016.(3), RSMo 1994. This report presents the summary statistics of Missouri's juvenile court system for calendar year 1997.


The numbers represented in this report are not individual children, but separately disposed court referrals. A referral is defined as "any action involving a juvenile which results in a determination, finding, or outcome and a written record maintained in the juvenile's name." A disposed referral is one which has resulted in a "finding" or "outcome". Examples of findings and outcomes include:

Table 1. Annual Referrals					
YEAR	REFERRALS				
1993	72,748				
1994	77,460				
1995	80,175				
1996	84,131				
1997	86,118				

Court Adjudication Informal Adjustment
Transfer to other Court Rejection of Referral
Throughout this report, the term "referral" means "disposed referral".

In calendar year 1997, 86,118 referrals were disposed of by the 45 juvenile court circuits in Missouri. This number marks a 18.4 percent increase over the five year period since 1993, and a two percent increase over 1996.

Because of problems with individual identification systems for juveniles, the number of *children* represented by these referrals cannot be accurately reported. Missouri does not use a unique, individual identifier and, therefore, an exact statewide count of individual children is not available.



Race and Gender Comparisons

Chart 1 shows the gender and race breakdown of all referrals in 1997. Males made up the larger portion of each racial grouping, accounting for 66 percent of all referrals, while females made up 34 percent. White youth comprised 69 percent of the referral population, while black youth comprised 29 percent. Just under three percent of the referral population were other races.

Referral Types

Referrals to Missouri's juvenile courts break down into four categories:

Law Violations: Acts which would be a violation of the Missouri Criminal Code if

they were committed by an adult.

Status Violations: Acts which are violations only if committed by a juvenile. These

include such activities as truancy and running away from home.

Abuse, Neglect, Custody: Reports of Missouri children who were victims of abuse or neglect,

(A/N/C) and children involved in custody disputes, transfers, or terminations

of parental rights.

Administrative: Reported referrals of violations of court orders. Prior to 1992, this

category also included permanency planning reviews and other

miscellaneous referrals such as probation violations.

Table 2. Juvenile Court Referral Statistics: 1988-1997						
YEAR	ABUSE, NEGLECT, CUSTODY	ADMINIS- TRATIVE	LAW VIOLATION	STATUS OFFENSE	TOTAL	
1988	11,488	9,545	36,580	13,771	71,384	
1989	12,138	10,682	37,185	13,728	73,733	
1990	12,949	12,225	38,920	13,706	77,800	
1991	13,073	9,326	40,180	13,708	76,287	
1992	13,523	452	45,451	14,023	73,449	
1993	14,014	558 *	43,070	15,106	72,748	
1994	13,851	883 *	41,268	21,458	77,460	
1995	11,743	973 *	44,048	23,411 **	80,175	
1996	13,104	929 *	46,299	23,799 **	84,131	
1997	13,344	819 *	49,402	22,553 **	86,118	

^{*} The definitions for reporting in the Administrative category were changed in 1992.

Table 2 shows the breakdown of all referrals into the four referral categories over the past ten years. Administrative referrals decreased from 1996, while abuse, neglect and custody referrals increased slightly by 2 percent. Law violation referrals comprised 57 percent of all referrals statewide in 1997 and increased seven percent from 1996. Status offenses decreased to 22,600, just under a six percent decrease from 1996.

^{**} The definitions for reporting in the Status Offense category changed in 1994 to include curfew violations.

Referral Trends

Chart 2 illustrates the change in total referrals, by category, over the past ten years. While an increase has been the general trend for each, status violations show the most dramatic increase, partly due to reporting definition changes. From 1988 to 1997, law violation referrals increased 35 percent, while abuse, neglect and custody referrals increased 16 percent.





Table 3. Referral Source	
Law Enforcement	
Municipal Police	50,137
County Sheriff	6,931
Other Law Enforcement	902
Highway Patrol	459
Division of Family Services	8,185
School	7,376
Juvenile Court Personnel	3,586
Parent	3,448
Other Juvenile Court	2,912
Other Relative	582
Victim or Self Referral	442
Social Agency - Private	214
Social Agency - Public	6 7
Department of Mental Health	25
Other	711
Miscode	141
Total	86,118

Referral Sources


Referrals to the Missouri juvenile court system can come from many sources. In 1997, 68 percent of all referrals were initiated by law enforcement. As in the past, more than half of the abuse, neglect and custody referrals were initiated by the Department of Social Services' Division of Family Services (DFS). Status offense referrals were more likely to be made by schools or municipal police. The juvenile courts and parents were also the initiators of large numbers of referrals. Table 3 shows the source of all referrals to the Missouri juvenile courts.

Most Common Major Allegations



Charts 3 and 4 illustrate the most common major allegations, by gender, for 1997 referrals. Although status violation is the top category with the largest individual number of referrals, males are more likely to be referred for a law violation than any other referral. Stealing, assault, and property damage are in the top five reasons for referral.

Females are most likely to be referred to the juvenile courts for a status violation. Abuse, neglect and custody referrals is the next major category. The number of female referrals for law violations of any kind is much lower both as a percentage of total female referrals and as compared to male referrals.



^{* &}quot;All Others" includes other law violations not mentioned on this graph as well as administrative violations.

Disposition of Referrals

After a youth has been referred to a juvenile court, a formal judgement or informal decision is made about how to handle that case. The possible determinations or outcomes fall into two categories: 1) those that are handled **formally** with a petition to the court, and 2) those that are **informally** adjusted outside of the court.

The **formal** dispositions that can occur are:

True, Out-of-Home: The allegation is found to be true and the youth is placed out of his or her

home. The placement may be with the Division of Youth Services, a

foster home, a relative, or some other agency.

True, In-Home: The allegation is found to be true and the youth receives services in his

or her own home.

True, No Services: The allegation is found to be true, but the youth receives no services.

Not True: The allegation is found to be not true.

Motion to Dismiss: The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is closed.

Dismiss for Certification: The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is transferred to an

adult criminal court to be processed under the criminal code.

The **informal** dispositions that can occur are:

Adjust without Supervision: No more than one face-to-face contact is made between court

personnel and the juvenile. This usually results in the giving of

advice, counsel or a verbal warning.

Adjust with Supervision: Either a verbal or written agreement is made to put the juvenile

under court supervision. This usually includes some sort of

restitution or community service.

Adjust - No Action: No action is taken by the juvenile officer other than the collection

of information.

Transfer to Other Juvenile Court: Jurisdiction over the juvenile is transferred to another juvenile

court for disposition.

Transfer to Other Agency: The youth's case (records, files, etc.) is turned over to another

agency for disposition.


Referral Rejected: No reason is found to sustain the referral.


Disposition of Referrals (cont.)


The dispositions of 1997 referrals to Missouri juvenile courts are shown in Chart 5. Over three-quarters (78 percent) of all referrals were disposed of informally.

Charts 6 and 7 show the outcomes of formally and informally disposed referrals. "W/O Supervision" was the finding for the largest number of referrals.

Formal dispositions accounted for only 22 percent of all referral outcomes, but some important results can be noted from them. While the percentage of all formal referrals remained about the same from 1996 to 1997, the referrals found true and placed out-of-home rose by 11 percent. Also, the number of referrals certified to adult court *declined* by 26 percent.









Disposition of Referrals (cont.)

A total of 7,043 referrals were placed out-of-home in 1997. Abuse, neglect and custody referrals made up 54 percent of all out-of-home placements. Law violation referrals accounted for 33 percent and status violation referrals made up 13 percent.

Forty-eight percent of all out-of-home placements were made to DFS. Another 21 percent of these placements were made to DYS. Frequently, youths were placed with relatives, court residential care, or some other private agency. Chart 8 shows the distribution of out-of-home placements.

In-home services were provided for 13,967 referrals in 1997. However, because more than one provider can be listed for each referral, there were 19,977 provider referrals. In-home services can be ordered by the court or provided informally by court personnel. Eighty-five percent of in-home service cases were supervised by juvenile court personnel. (Chart 9)





Certifications to Adult Court

In 1997, there were 288 referrals dismissed from the juvenile courts to allow certification to adult court. Table 4 shows the number of dismissed referrals by age and race.

Table 4. Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race							
	White	Black	Other	Total			
Age 13	2	1	0	3			
Age 14	2	8	0	10			
Age 15	19	18	2	39			
Age 16	93	70	1	164			
Age 17	46	22	0	68			
Age 18	3	1	0	4			
Total	165	120	3	288			


Pre-Hearing Placements


At the time of a referral, a juvenile may be detained awaiting a determination. Two types of facilities are used for detention: secure and non-secure. During 1997, 11,788 referrals were detained prior to hearing. Males made up almost 65 percent of all placements, and males were more likely to be detained in a secure facility. A breakdown of all detainees by category and gender is provided in Table 5.

Table 5. Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender							
	Se	ecure	Non-	-Secure	В	oth	
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Law Violation	3,932	863	271	93	82	24	
Status	398	410	251	294	24	33	
Abuse, Neglect, Custody	4 4	15	2,199	2,359	8	7	
Administrative	312	75	68	23	3	0	
Total	4,686	1,363	2,789	2,769	117	6 4	

There are several types of facilities in which non-secure placements may be held: Foster Home, Group Home, Group Foster Home, Institution or Facility, Relative, and Other. In 1997, the largest portion of these placements were made to a foster home (60 percent). Detention with a relative was added as a new category on the 1992 juvenile information form. This category accounted for 10 percent of non-secure placements in 1997. Chart 10 details the percentage of placements into the different categories.

Chart 11 shows the operators of the non-secure facilities. The operators of a facility can be: DFS, the Department of Mental Health (DMH), Juvenile Court, Local Government, Private Agency, Relative, or Other. DFS was the operator of the facilities in 67 percent of the disposed referrals that had a non-secure placement.





LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS

Law violation referrals made up 57 percent of all referrals to the juvenile court system in 1997. Law violations represent a single delinquent act even though several offenses may have been committed at the same time by the child referred. The most serious violation that resulted in a final disposition is recorded as the major allegation for the referral.

Law Violation Outcomes

Chart 12 depicts the outcomes of all law referrals. Informal adjustment without supervision accounted for 27 percent of all outcomes. Informal adjustments accounted for 81 percent of law violation referrals.




Table 6. Average Prior Law Violations for Certain Offense Categories

	Number of Cases	Average Prior Law Violations
FLIGHT/ESCAPE	66	6.74
OBSTRUCT JUDICIAL PROCESS	243	5.65
ROBBERY	447	4.22
GAMBLING	20	3.95
OBSTRUCT POLICE	364	3.84
STOLEN PROPERTY	518	3.50
KIDNAPPING	11	3.09
BURGLARY	2,429	3.05
HOMICIDE	36	2.86
WEAPONS	915	2.77
PROPERTY DAMAGE	8,648	2.68
FORGERY	165	2.51

Prior Violations

The average number of prior law violations per referral varies greatly among different violations. Referrals for Flight/Escape, Obstruct Judicial Process, and Robbery are shown to have some of the highest incidences of prior allegations. (Table 6)

Age Distribution of Law Violators


Nearly half (24,537) of all the law violation referrals in 1997 were 15 and 16 year old youths. This age group was most likely to be referred for stealing, assault, or property damage. This same pattern can be seen among each of the age groups. (Table 7)

Table 7. Law Violation Referrals per Allegation by Age										
				AGE	GROUP					
VIOLATION	0-11	12	13	14	1 5	1 6	17	18+	Miscode	TOTAL
ARSON	92	52	73	79	63	71	11	0	0	441
ASSAULT	1,528	1,014	1,684	2,171	2,329	2,436	444	9	3	11,618
BURGLARY	261	144	292	431	545	617	129	10	0	2,429
CONSERVATION	0	2	5	9	22	46	10	0	0	9 4
DANGEROUS DRUGS	42	77	230	440	834	1,350	309	9	1	3,292
DRIVERS LICENSE/VEHICLES	18	14	43	124	209	42	5	0	0	455
ELECTION LAWS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAMILY OFFENSES	22	10	13	26	29	22	8	1	0	131
FLIGHT/ESCAPE	1	0	5	10	13	34	3	0	0	66
FORGERY	5	4	10	16	29	79	20	2	0	165
FRAUD	2	1	9	9	22	45	27	1	0	116
GAMBLING	0	1	0	4	5	8	2	0	0	20
HEALTH AND SAFETY	15	12	34	50	5 4	74	11	0	0	250
HOMICIDE	2	1	0	2	8	19	3	1	0	36
KIDNAPPING	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	11
LIQUOR LAWS	14	21	52	131	355	966	145	4	1	1,689
LOCAL CHARGES (INCLUDES TRAFFIC)	23	30	42	88	128	165	26	0	0	502
MISC. (INCLUDES DWI)	1	0	1	1	5	19	2	0	0	29
MUNICIPAL	18	4	22	17	23	16	6	1	1	108
OBSCENITY	0	1	2	2	5	2	0	0	0	12
OBSTRUCT JUDICIAL PROCESS	12	3	11	47	5 1	90	24	5	0	243
OBSTRUCT POLICE	13	18	42	58	91	121	20	0	1	364
PEACE DISTURBANCE	201	184	297	434	599	622	77	5	0	2,419
PROPERTY DAMAGE	1,038	570	919	1,465	1,921	2,335	377	17	6	8,648
PROSTITUTION	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	7
ROBBERY	9	23	37	59	110	165	42	2	0	447
SEX OFFENSES	97	38	83	125	8 1	8 1	14	2	0	521
SEXUAL ASSAULT	48	42	66	68	94	92	21	9	0	440
STEALING	1,072	952	1,599	2,353	2,977	3,527	584	14	5	13,083
STOLEN PROPERTY	29	32	67	95	111	157	27	0	0	518
WEAPONS	74	70	113	151	211	249	45	2	0	915
MISCODE	67	11	20	36	63	93	35	6	1	332
TOTAL	4,707	3,334	5,773	8,503	10,989	13,548		100	1 9	49,402


STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

Status Offense Outcomes

More status offense referrals were handled informally than formally. The number of rejected referrals decreased from 1996 by 45 percent, while the number that were adjusted informally without supervision increased. Aside from these, the proportion of each of the outcomes remained about the same as in 1996. (Chart 13)



Status offenses are those offenses that are not considered violations if committed by adults. In 1997, status offenses accounted for 26 percent of all referrals. The category with the largest number of referrals was "Absent from Home" which had 6,326. This was also the only category in which the number of female referrals outnumbered male referrals. (Chart 14)



Status Offense Placements

Pre-hearing placements for status referrals are somewhat rare. Only six and one quarter percent of 1997 status referrals were detained prior to hearing. Of those juveniles held, 808 (57 percent) were held in a secure facility, 545 (39 percent) were held in a non-secure facility, and 57 juveniles (4 percent) were held in both secure and non-secure facilities pending their hearing. Runaways were more likely than other status offenders to be held in a secure setting. (Table 8)

Table 8. Pre-Hearing P	lacements	s: Status	Offens	e Referra	ls			
Secure Non-Secure Both								
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>		
Truancy	4 4	25	19	17	5	2		
Absent from Home	153	255	75	107	12	18		
Beyond Parental Control	112	72	90	107	5	10		
Behavior Injurious to Self	30	29	57	56	2	3		
Curfew	28	1 4	5	1	0	0		
Other Status	31	15	5	6	0	0		
Total	398	4 1 0	2 5 1	294	2 4	3 3		

Table 9. Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals							
DFS	311						
DYS	217						
COURT RES. CARE	190						
PRIVATE AGENCY	98						
RELATIVE	4 1						
OTHER	39						
SUPERVISION BY COURT	15						
DMH	1 4						
PUBLIC AGENCY	8						
TOTAL	9 3 3						

In 1997, 933 juveniles who were referred for status offenses were placed out-of-home: Thirty-three percent of those were put under DFS care; DYS accepted another 23 percent; twenty percent were placed into juvenile court residential care; eleven percent were placed with a private agency. The rest were placed elsewhere, including with a relative, DMH, a public agency or court probation. (Table 9)

ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS

The abuse, neglect and custody category encompasses a wide range of referral types. Children can be referred because of physical abuse, abandonment, or because they are involved in a custody dispute. A breakdown of all the abuse, neglect and custody referrals is listed in Table 10.

Table 10.	Referrals	s by Category			
ABUS	<u> </u>	NEGLECT		CUSTOD'	<u>′</u>
PHYSICAL	1,909	IMPROPER CARE	4,999	PROTECTIVE	1,028
SEXUAL	1,117	OTHER	1,199	TERMINATION	1,088
EMOTIONAL	136	EDUCATIONAL	593	RELIEF	396
INCEST	191	ABANDONMENT	256	TRANSFER	226
		MEDICAL/SURG.	181	ABDUCTION	25

Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes

Abuse, neglect and custody referrals were most likely to be handled informally by the courts. "True, Out-of-Home" was the most reported outcome category. Chart 15 shows the distribution of outcomes.




Table 11.	Age Grou	p of Victin	n
	<u>ABUSE</u>	NEGLECT	CUSTODY
0 - 3 Years	525	2,126	755
4 - 7 Years	800	1,767	663
8 - 11 Years	740	1,501	535
12 - 15 Years	1,031	1,432	534
16 and over	257	401	276
Total	3,353	7,227	2,763
(Miscode)	1		

Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals

Younger children were more likely to be referred for neglect and custody cases. Teenagers, ages 12 through 15, were more likely than younger children to be alleged abuse victims. (Table 11)

APPENDICES

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	15 10	4 9	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	186 35	110 16	0	8	6 8 1 4	0	0 0	391 87
	SCOTLAND	6	14	0	0	0	0	70	66	1	2	3 1	5	0	195
2	ADAIR KNOX	3 1 4	51 19	1 0	1 1 1 0	0 0	0	246	8 2 1 0	33 105	27 3	128 44	0 1	3	613 227
	LEWIS	4 4 2	35	0	37	9	0	31 165	108	75	3 7	114	7	1	600
3	GRUNDY	2 4	35	0	0	11	3	37	7	9	5	32	46	0	209
	HARRISON	32	22	0	5	11	1	21	18	0	15	11	15	0	151
	MERCER PUTNAM	8 8	4 9	0	0 0	5 2	0 1	2 19	0 5	0	6 8	1 0 1 0	3 7	0	38 69
4	ATCHISON	2	2	0	0	1	0	12	20	6	4	0	4	1	52
	GENTRY	7	1	0	0	0	0	10	39	1	0	0	5	0	63
	HOLT NODAWAY	1 0 1 1	8 16	0	0 0	0 0	0	9 8 4	22 70	3 8	2 7	0	7 16	0	61 212
	WORTH	1	1	0	0	Ö	0	3	12	0	0	0	3	0	20
5	ANDREW	12	11	0	0	0	0	45	5 5	0	5	10	21	0	159
6	BUCHANAN PLATTE	149 26	168 20	<u>11</u> 1	0	3 9 6	<u>1</u> 1	1,055 46	453 240	27	4 3 1 9	<u>86</u> 8	87 12	0	2,093 406
7	CLAY	265	101	5 4	20	33	9	552	315	307	163	131	96	1 4	2,060
8	CARROLL	1 4	21	0	0	1	0	9 1	24	4	4	1	0	2	162
9	RAY CHARITON	24	<u>59</u> 5	<u>0</u> 1	0	2	<u>4</u> 0	279 5	<u>56</u> 9	1 <u>5</u> 4	33 11	17 1	25 3	0	516 44
3	LINN	11	1	0	0	1	0	6	14	4	1	0	1	0	39
	SULLIVAN	3	7	0	0	2	0	15	14	12	2	4	0	0	59
1 0	MARION MONROE	4 1 1 9	4 7 2	2	0 0	4 0	5 0	117 15	163 27	158 23	29 6	3 0 6	5 1 9	0	647 107
	RALLS	13	2	0	1	0	0	22	22	33	3	4	10	0	110
11	ST. CHARLES	238	435	12	0	71	28	732	499	439	305	52	1,113	13	3,937
1 2	AUDRAIN	32	22	0	0	0	2	113	133	74	20	99	7	0	502
	MONTGOMERY WARREN	48 22	11 22	0	1 0	1 0 1	3 1	80 227	56 71	22 29	22 30	91 10	5 4	2 1	351 418
13	BOONE	432	589	0	6	233	8	626	627	0	167	318	340	0	3,346
	CALLAWAY	92	83	2	2	10	2	133	182	0	40	62	9.5	0	703
1 4	HOWARD RANDOLPH	0 4 9	2 47	0	0 0	11 13	0 0	10 112	13 64	47 168	5 45	4 58	42 69	0	134 625
15	LAFAYETTE	16	2	0	0	0	0	120	28	57	30	16	74	0	343
	SALINE	48	23	0	0	0	1	93	8	65	9	33	51	0	331
16	JACKSON CASS	816 34	961 82	201	1,278	168 12	2 9 3	699 346	867 382	867 198	16	1,291	1,101	3	8,278 1,142
' '	JOHNSON	13	24	0	1	2	0	79	187	124	3	2	1	0	436
18	COOPER	8	5	0	0	2	1	8 0	41	107	20	12	19	1	296
19	PETTIS COLE	3 1 6 4	119	0	<u>3</u>	20	3	232 88	36 166	145 15	33 74	98	39 111	1 0	624 673
20	FRANKLIN	144	58	0	0	5	3	736	404	53	24	13	61	0	1,501
	GASCONADE	42	6	0	0	5	0	38	29	7	0	2	0	0	129
2 1	OSAGE ST. LOUIS COUNTY	1,053	7 1,518	28	33	0 476	0 56	3,096	522	1 575	2,453	1 47	4,622	0	66 15,479
22	ST. LOUIS CITY	1,048	560	0	33	759	37	3,577	0	362	198	0	3,101	27	9,702
23	JEFFERSON	275	281	0	0	148	5	562	113	992	98	9	4	2	2,489
2 4	MADISON ST EDANCOIS	20	44	0	0	3	2	80	10	64	8	4	0	0	235
	ST. FRANCOIS STE. GENEVIEVE	37 20	90 40	0	0 0	19 13	0 7	214 69	27 17	254 73	6 6 1 1	33 18	4 8 4	0 1	788 273
	WASHINGTON	22	36	1	0	4	0	128	39	75	4	8	42	0	359
25	MARIES	4	7	0	0	0	0	20	40	0	2	1	1	0	75
	PHELPS PULASKI	5 0 4 6	50 68	3 11	0 0	5 1 4	1 4	130 345	171 108	118 60	3 0 2 0	42 63	15 76	0	615 815
	TEXAS	48	28	0	0	3	1	262	60	59	29	128	9	0	627

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE	11	19 73	0	1	2 1	1 0	108 168	21 83	0 1 7	5 4 1 2	14 18	25 28	0	256 437
	MILLER	35 24	7 3 5 4	1 0	0 0	6	2	211	14	13	16	22	1	1	363
	MONITEAU	8	22	0	0	1	0	62	7	25	2	22	1	0	150
27	MORGAN BATES	9 7	3 7 7	0	0	2	<u>6</u> 2	93 126	1 0 6 6	5 5 1 0	13	25 18	12	0	250 252
2 /	HENRY	14	12	0	1	14	2	138	30	29	32	19	5	0	296
	ST. CLAIR	4	3	0	0	0	0	69	31	7	4	31	2	0	151
28	BARTON CEDAR	22 33	13 26	0	0	4 16	0 5	64 120	20 11	3	3 1 4	4 8	3 8	0	136 244
	DADE	14	12	0	0	9	1	36	10	21	7	6	7	0	123
	VERNON	48	91	0	1	19	0	412	113	11	27	41	18	0	781
29 30	JASPER BENTON	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	8 8 3 8	47 38	183 4	3 4 0	12	22	<u>3</u>	369 118
	DALLAS	8	2	Ö	Ö	6	4	43	46	17	6	27	40	0	199
	HICKORY	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	16	3	6	2	7	0	52
	POLK WEBSTER	16 23	0 15	0 0	0 0	2 4	0 2	8 1 1 0 5	76 35	22 19	2 5	2 4 3 1	27 64	7 0	257 303
3 1	GREENE	178	174	1	2	9	4	428	838	519	225	387	1,280	1	4,046
32	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU	13 97	0 59	0	0	6 19	0	11	4 128	5	3	2 78	3 97	0	47
	PERRY	20	15	0 0	3 0	16	8 0	424 154	33	133 14	114 15	14	97	0	1,160 282
33	MISSISSIPPI	59	143	2	0	16	5	179	9	149	5	42	2	1	612
3 4	SCOTT NEW MADRID	1 4 3 4	61 16	<u>3</u> 0	<u>3</u> 0	0	<u>3</u>	216 60	96 60	66 6	2 7 6	4 4 1 7	119 14	1 2	655 216
34	PEMISCOT	12	9	0	0	0	0	113	48	0	0	8	18	2	210
35	DUNKLIN	17	104	4	1	2	2	4	35	225	38	89	53	2	576
36	STODDARD BUTLER	45 19	3 9 3 4	<u>1</u> 0	0	<u>6</u> 2	0	18 426	8 0 7 5	117 81	<u>8</u> 44	4 5 7	<u>51</u> 1	0 1	410 690
	RIPLEY	17	6	0	1	0	0	197	64	1	4	4 1	0	0	331
37	CARTER HOWELL	1 36	2 23	0	1 2	1 38	0 0	32 595	11 166	1 4 8 2	0	7 67	0 29	0	6.9 1,041
	OREGON	5	5	0	0	3	1	57	9	9	0	8	0	0	97
	SHANNON	15	5	0	1	2	0	72	7	23	0	9	2	0	136
38	CHRISTIAN TANEY	36 36	3 1 2 1	0 1	2	26 18	3 0	8 7 8 4	141 119	126 170	2 4 3 1	15 42	31 79	0	522 601
39	BARRY	6	21	0	0	3	2	129	66	52	7	33	32	1	352
	LAWRENCE	4	31	2	0	0	0	175	58	43	15	37	27	2	394
4 0	STONE MCDONALD	9 75	<u>3</u>	0 4 9	<u>0</u> 1	1 0	0	7 4 6 7	<u>22</u> 7	1 8 8	18	4 8 3 6	2 4 6	0	219 267
	NEWTON	138	5	7 4	4	1	3	227	24	42	2 1	7 1	11	0	621
4 1	MACON SHELBY	3 2 2 1	22 15	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	79 18	125 28	0	11	53 34	49 36	0	371 155
42	CRAWFORD	88	4	0	0	2	2	184	317	18	50	32	0	0	697
	DENT	11	6	0	0	6	0	19	4 1	0	5	3	1	0	92
	IRON REYNOLDS	9 4	6 1	0 0	0 0	1 0 0	1 0	1 2 7	28 9	3 0	12 10	1 0	0 1	3	8 5
	WAYNE	4	1	ő	0	Ö	0	5	9	13	2	3	3	0	4 (
43	CALDWELL	4	0	0	0	0	0	37	15	7	2	7	0	0	72
	CLINTON DAVIESS	0 1	0 3	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 0	5 5 3 5	1 0 6	0 2	0 2	0 2	0	0	6 5 5 3
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
4 4	LIVINGSTON DOUGLAS	13 17	13 23	<u>0</u>	0	3	<u>3</u>	6 5 5 4	37 16	13 2	<u>7</u>	7 14	0	0	158
	OZARK	9	23 8	1	0	ა 1	0	10	1	2	9	10	0	0	137 51
	WRIGHT	25	40	3	2	12	0	112	23	15	10	10	4	0	256
4 5	LINCOLN PIKE	63 10	53 23	0	6 0	1 4 0	2 0	263 94	26 40	4 2 4 2	1 1 1 0	1 7	1 7 0	1 1	499 227
	MISCODE	6	7	0	0	4	1	42	25	37	5	12	7	<u>1</u> 1	147
Total		7,043	7,324	474	1,477	2,447	288 2	23,138	10,414	9,419	5,195	5,001	13,790	108	86,118

Note: Total = Law+Status+A/N/C+Administrative. Although it is included in this total, there is no separate appendix for the Administrative category.

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	3	1	0	0	0	0	26	27	0	5	0	0	0	62
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	2 2	3 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	11 28	3 16	0 0	1 2	0	0 5	0	20 59
2	ADAIR	4	28	1	8	0	0	83	32	20	24	5	0	0	205
	KNOX	2	6	0	5	0	0	24	4	96	3	2	0	0	142
3	LEWIS GRUNDY	3	20	0	10	2	3	91 26	4 6 7	6 0 6	6 4	<u>1</u> 3	37	1 0	244 120
	HARRISON	1	22	0	1	1	1	18	18	0	3	1	15	0	81
	MERCER	1	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	3	0	15
	PUTNAM	2	9	0	0	0	1	11	5	0	4	1	7	0	40
4	ATCHISON GENTRY	1 0	2 1	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	5 3	1 4 3 0	4 1	2 0	0	4 5	0	33 40
	HOLT	0	7	0	0	0	0	3 7	17	2	1	0	7	0	41
	NODAWAY	1	12	0	0	0	0	5 4	56	5	5	0	14	0	147
	WORTH	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	3	0	16
5	ANDREW	7	5	0	0	0	0	33	46	0	3	0	21	0	115
6	BUCHANAN PLATTE	63 10	128 17	<u>4</u> 1	0	18 3	<u>1</u> 1	377 40	260 199	1 17	29 17	10	77 11	0	968 318
7	CLAY	104	57	1	13	9	9	433	237	200	154	35	35	5	1,292
8	CARROLL	6	1 4	0	0	1	0	68	10	1	3	1	0	2	106
0	RAY	10	35	0	1	0	4	171	25	7	24	7	22	1	307
9	CHARITON LINN	2 4	1 0	1 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 5	8 8	1 2	1 0 1	0	3 1	0	27 21
	SULLIVAN	0	3	0	0	1	0	6	12	6	1	0	0	0	29
10	MARION	23	33	0	0	0	5	7 5	120	8 4	19	13	29	0	401
	MONROE	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	21	4	6	2	6	0	47
1 1	RALLS ST. CHARLES	129	282	<u>0</u> 4	1 0	0 48	28	18 580	17 423	15 68	216	0 25	7 784	10	64 2,597
12	AUDRAIN	8	15	0	0	0	2 2	79	96	44	19	9	6	0	2,397
	MONTGOMERY	10	7	0	0	2	3	42	42	10	11	1	3	0	131
	WARREN	5	19	0	0	1	1	5 9	5 1	9	21	7	3	1	177
1 3	BOONE	142	326	0	4	89	8	155	430 93	0 0	98 24	85 25	129	0	1,466 290
14	CALLAWAY HOWARD	34	5 0 2	0	0	2 7	0	1 5 8	12	36	3	0	45 34	0	102
	RANDOLPH	21	38	0	0	1	0	8 0	48	124	3 1	21	59	0	423
15	LAFAYETTE	5	2	0	0	0	0	8 8	23	29	20	4	62	0	233
1.6	SALINE JACKSON	8 357	12 488	12	836	82	1 29	84	636	30 581	<u>6</u> 0	207	28 915	0	187 4,807
16	CASS	13	51	0	2	2	3	195	230	95	11	5	15	2	624
' '	JOHNSON	2	13	0	1	0	0	56	115	69	1	1	1	0	259
18	COOPER	5	4	0	0	2	1	67	35	23	12	3	19	0	171
1.0	PETTIS COLE	21	3	0	<u>3</u> 0	0 1 4	0	150	29	8 1	19	40	37 67	1 0	386
19	FRANKLIN	3 9	77 52	0	0	14 4	3	53 429	100 299	9 32	4 9 2 0	4	38	0	385 920
- "	GASCONADE	3	6	0	0	0	0	30	21	6	0	1	0	0	67
	OSAGE	0	6	0	0	0	0	25	3	0	3	0	3	0	40
21	ST. LOUIS COUNTY	266	891	13	28	280	56	1,957	478		1,862		2,794	0	8,963
22	ST. LOUIS CITY JEFFERSON	255 60	460 194	0	5 0	335 78	37 5	1,715 467	8 0	105 540	163 82	<u>0</u>	2,263	14	5,352 1,517
24	MADISON	10	33	0	0	1	2	32	3	28	8	4	0	0	121
	ST. FRANCOIS	19	7 1	0	0	10	0	163	20	49	6 4	29	4 0	0	465
	STE. GENEVIEVE	12	30	0	0	11	7	48	6	54	8	6	2	1	185
25	WASHINGTON MARIES	10	2 8 7	1 0	0	0	0	<u>88</u> 4	2 9 1 8	4 6 0	<u>4</u> 1	<u>6</u> 1	20	0	232
23	PHELPS	7	24	0	0	0	1	5 9	124	104	17	10	10	0	33 356
	PULASKI	12	38	0	0	3	4	87	76	9	9	9	63	0	310
	TEXAS	7	19	0	0	0	1	114	37	29	20	21	6	0	254

CIRCUIT		True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE	5 12	16 46	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	67 101	16 71	0 9	4 6 1 2	9 1 0	17 24	0	177 286
	MILLER MONITEAU	1 7 3	46 15	0	0 0	3 0	2	128 28	3 5	1 2 1 8	1 0 2	5 3	1 0	0	227 74
	MORGAN	4	23	0	0	2	6	43	6	28	10	5	0	0	127
27	BATES HENRY	2 4	6 8	0	0 1	1 0	2 2	6 4 8 1	37 20	5 24	2 19	4 2	1 0 1	0	133 162
	ST. CLAIR	2	1	0	0	0	0	23	18	4	2	0	1	0	51
28	BARTON CEDAR	0	10	0	0	2	0	35	18	1	1	0	2	0	69
	DADE	19 5	19 12	0 0	0 0	1 2 3	5 1	6 6 1 8	8 9	1 7	1 0 6	3 3	4 1	0	147 65
0.0	VERNON	18	46	0	1	11	0	209	71	5	17	17	9	0	404
30	JASPER BENTON	<u>0</u> 1	1 0	0	0	0	0	4 8 2 8	32	45	18	2	7	<u>0</u>	144
	DALLAS	1	2	0	0	1	4	3 4	29	12	3	6	19	0	111
	HICKORY POLK	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	10 67	0 45	2 12	2 2	0 3	1 6	0 6	15 141
	WEBSTER	14	10	0	0	2	2	89	21	16	5	12	33	0	204
31	GREENE BOLLINGER	75 2	155	0	<u>1</u> 0	<u>5</u>	0	363 10	728 4	331 4	206 3	8 0 1	939	<u>1</u>	2,888
32	CAPE GIRARDEAU	26	0 53	0	3	6	8	276	117	70	97	4 9	7 8	0	783
	PERRY	4	13	0	0	6	0	109	32	7	14	10	1	0	196
33	MISSISSIPPI SCOTT	23 6	8 9 5 1	1 2	0 3	1 1 2	5 3	106 139	4 6 4	5 1 4 2	1 1 4	21 20	2 109	1 0	315 455
3 4	NEW MADRID	10	16	0	0	0	1	36	5 9	5	4	8	12	1	152
35	PEMISCOT DUNKLIN	12 15	<u>8</u> 86	0	0	0 1	0 2	99	4 1 1 9	170	32	7 19	1 8 4 5	<u>2</u> 1	187 393
33	STODDARD	5	36	1	0	4	0	12	44	86	4	3	32	0	227
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	1	27	0	0 0	1 0	0 0	285 118	27	3 3 1	20	1 2	1 0	1	397
37	CARTER	0	5 2	0	0	1	0	19	3 8 8	5	1 0	3	0	0	168 38
	HOWELL	7	9	0	1	8	0	186	97	54	1	26	15	0	404
	OREGON SHANNON	1 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	1 0	1 0	26 16	6 7	7 6	0 0	1 2	0 1	0	43
38	CHRISTIAN	4	26	0	2	6	3	6 1	137	103	22	1	29	0	394
39	TANEY BARRY	11 1	1 6 1 8	0	0	6 0	2	5 2 9 4	104 57	155 44	1 6 5	1 2 8	23	<u>0</u> 1	434 253
	LAWRENCE	2	24	0	0	0	0	137	41	29	9	5	23	1	271
4 0	STONE MCDONALD	<u>1</u> 8	<u>1</u> 1	0	<u>0</u> 1	0	0	5 5 5 7	1 7 5	1 4 7	1 5 4	<u>8</u> 8	2 1 6	<u>1</u>	133
40	NEWTON	16	5	0	1	1	3	151	20	27	7	28	10	0	269
4 1	MACON	2	14	0	0	0	0	59	67	0	7	6	25	0	180
42	SHELBY CRAWFORD	63	11 1	0	0	2	2	17	17 214	0 16	36	<u>5</u>	0	0	57 483
	DENT	5	6	0	0	0	0	10	35	0	5	0	1	0	6 2
	IRON REYNOLDS	2 1	6 0	0 0	0 0	5 0	1 0	5 2	2 4 7	3 0	8 1 0	0 0	0 1	0	5 4 2 1
	WAYNE	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	10	1	0	3	0	27
43	CALDWELL CLINTON	2	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	24 32	1 5	1 0	1 0	2	0	0	3 1 3 7
	DAVIESS	0	3	0	0	0	0	20	3	1	1	0	0	0	28
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
4 4	LIVINGSTON DOUGLAS	<u>3</u>	10	<u>0</u> 1	0	<u>0</u>	0	3 4 2 6	1 9 1 5	10	<u>6</u> 3	0	0	0	8 5 7 1
	OZARK	1	8	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	8	1	0	0	2 4
45	WRIGHT LINCOLN	<u>5</u> 30	35 36	<u>1</u> 0	<u>2</u>	9 7	0 2	59 218	1 8 1 9	1 4 2 8	<u>8</u> 9	<u>0</u> 1	<u>4</u> 17	<u>0</u> 1	155 374
	PIKE	6	20	0	0	0	0	75	36	31	7	4	0	1	180
Total	MISCODE	2,197	7	0 47	0 940	2 1,136	1	29 3,528	19	24	4	4	4	0 60	97 49,402

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	3	2	0	0	0	159	83	0	3	4	0	0	254
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	0 2	4 7	0 0	0 0	0 0	16 35	9 46	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	29 92
2	ADAIR	11	6	0	1	0	162	49	11	3	15	0	1	259
	KNOX LEWIS	2 9	1 6	0 0	0 3	0 3	6 61	4 57	4 1 0	0 0	6 7	1 2	0	24 158
3	GRUNDY	3	4	0	0	1	9	0	3	0	16	6	0	42
	HARRISON MERCER	2 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	3 1	0	0 0	1 0 4	9 2	0 0	0	2 4 8
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4	5	0	0	17
4	ATCHISON	1	0	0	0	0	6	6	1	0	0	0	0	14
	GENTRY HOLT	1 2	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 1	9 5	0 1	0 0	0	0 0	0	13 10
	NODAWAY	8	4	0	0	0	21	1 4	0	2	0	2	0	5 1
5	WORTH ANDREW	<u>1</u> 3	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 11	<u>1</u> 9	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	31
	BUCHANAN	33	30	7	0	7	641	190	0	14	11	3	0	936
6	PLATTE	2	1	0	0	0	6	41	9	2	2	1	0	64
7 8	CLAY CARROLL	2 9 5	1 4 2	0	<u>1</u> 0	1 0	68 20	7 0 1 4	<u>59</u> 2	<u>5</u> 1	25 0	<u>38</u> 0	6 0	318 44
Ü	RAY	7	24	0	1	0	70	24	4	5	0	0	0	135
9	CHARITON	0	4	0	0	0	5	1	3	1	1	0	0	15
	LINN SULLIVAN	4 1	1 2	0 0	0 0	1 1	1 9	6 2	2 6	0 0	0 2	0 0	0	15 23
10	MARION	7	12	0	0	1	42	43	7 4	6	1 0	22	0	217
	MONROE RALLS	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	9 4	4 5	1 6 1 8	0 1	0 1	3 3	0	32 33
11	ST. CHARLES	69	140	8	0	7	152	76	371	86	27	312	3	1,251
12	AUDRAIN	7	5	0	0	0	32	29	21	1	2	1	0	98
	MONTGOMERY WARREN	8 3	1 1	0 0	1 0	1 0	29 42	13 15	9 1 9	9 6	0 1	2 1	0	73 88
13	BOONE	108	168	0	0	43	260	196	0	65	149	179	0	1,168
14	CALLAWAY HOWARD	12	1 9 0	0	0	<u>7</u> 1	7 8 2	8 9 1	9	1 6 2	17	4 1 4	0	279 21
1 4	RANDOLPH	13	8	0	0	1	32	16	41	14	23	7	0	155
15	LAFAYETTE	1	0	0	0	0	32	5	27	10	7	12	0	94
16	SALINE JACKSON	160	0 4 8	0 18	220	35	8 31	231	35 283	<u>3</u>	9 112	20 123	0	78 1,261
17	CASS	11	28	0	0	0	132	148	93	5	17	9	0	443
4.0	JOHNSON	1	8	0	0	0	22	66	48	1	1	0	0	147
18	COOPER PETTIS	2 6	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	11 82	6 7	8 4 5 9	6 1 4	5 4 4	0 1	1 0	116 213
19	COLE	10	32	0	0	1	35	66	6	23	2	30	0	205
20	FRANKLIN GASCONADE	6 0	4 0	0 0	0 0	1 1	296 8	9 2 7	2 1 1	4 0	8 1	22 0	0	454 18
	OSAGE	0	1	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	9
21	ST. LOUIS COUNTY	78	172	1	0	37	907	4 1	1,258	538	17	1,431	0	4,480
22	ST. LOUIS CITY JEFFERSON	4 2 2 9	4 1 5 3	0	0	4 4	1,449 95	33	77 452	7 15	3	395 0	4 0	2,061 721
24	MADISON	0	6	0	0	1	44	3	17	0	0	0	0	71
	ST. FRANCOIS	1	7	0	0	0	40	3	59	2	4	8	0	124
	STE. GENEVIEVE WASHINGTON	5 1	8 7	0 0	0 0	2 0	2 1 4 0	1 1 1 0	13 17	2 0	8 0	2 19	0	72 94
25	MARIES	1	0	0	0	0	13	12	0	0	0	1	0	27
	PHELPS	8	7	0	0	1	71	43	11	9	13	4	0	167
	PULASKI TEXAS	3 9	2 6	2 0	0 0	3 1	257 137	3 0 2 2	45 18	4 2	23 46	6 2	0 0	375 243

CIRCUIT 26	COUNTY CAMDEN LACLEDE MILLER MONITEAU	7 S 0 0 True, Out-of-Home	1 True, In-Home	0 0 L O True, No Services	0 0 D True	0 0 1 0 Dismiss	1 7 2 0 8 3 8 4 8 4 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Adjust W/ Supervision	9 0 9 0 Adjust No Action	o o o ∞ Transfer Other Court	1 L ansfer Other Agency	1 Rejected	Miscode	4 9 1 2 9 1 1 0
27	MORGAN BATES	4 2	9 1	0	0	0	5 0 6 1	3 29	19	0	9	2	0	96
	HENRY ST. CLAIR	1 1	4 0	0 0	0 0	4 0	4 9 4 6	1 0 1 3	5 2	11 2	3 5	2 1	0	
28	BARTON CEDAR DADE VERNON	5 7 4 7	3 6 0 35	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 4	26 52 9 179	2 3 1 33	2 0 2 0	1 4 1 7	2 3 1 13	1 4 3 5	0 0 0 0	8 1 2 3 2 8 3
30	JASPER BENTON	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	40	14	7 <u>1</u>	1 6 0	9	7	2 1	
	DALLAS HICKORY POLK	4 1 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	5 5 9	2 4 5	4 1 8	0 0	8 1 9	12 2 17	0 0 1	3 5 1 4
31	WEBSTER GREENE	3	5 12	0	0	0	1 0 5 8	3 98	77	0 17	7 21	14 146	0	
32	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU	2 4	0 2	0 0	0	0	1 148	0 1 1	1 62	0 1 6	0 28	1 19	0	290 290
33	PERRY MISSISSIPPI	<u>1</u>	2 16	0	0	<u>5</u>	4 5 5 9	4	7 7 7	4	13	0	0	
3 4	SCOTT NEW MADRID	7	9	0	0	0	77 23	32	24	13	1 6 6	10	0	
	PEMISCOT	0	1	0	0	0	14	7	0	0	1	0	0	23
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	0 1	1 1 1	3 0	0 0	1 1	1 6	1 4 3 3	5 4 2 4	5 3	8 1 1	1 10	1	
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	1	1 1	0	0	1	111 72	15 25	33	15 3	1 8	0	0	178
37	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	11	3	2	0	3	0	0	19
	HOWELL OREGON SHANNON	1 1 0 0	1 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	4 0 2	403 29 55	6 9 3 0	2 1 2 2	2 0 0	23 6 5	8 0 1	0 0 0	4 1
38	CHRISTIAN	10	5	0	0	10	26	4	21	2	7	2	0	87
39	TANEY BARRY	<u>5</u> 1	5 3	0	0	0	30	1 <u>5</u>	1 <u>5</u>	14	10	8	0	71
	LAWRENCE STONE	0 0	6 2	0 0	0 0	0	33 19	1 6 5	8 4	6 3	1 2 8	4	1 1	
4 0	MCDONALD	5	1	0	0	0	8	1	1	3	17	0	0	36
4 1	NEWTON MACON	10	4	0	0	0	67 19	5 8	10	1 <u>1</u> 4	2 0 9	<u>1</u>	0	97
42	SHELBY CRAWFORD	<u>3</u> 5	<u>4</u> 0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 39	11	0	7	5 4	0	0	
-	DENT IRON	3 2	0	0	0	1	9 7	6 4	0	0 2	1 0	0	0	20
	REYNOLDS WAYNE	0 1	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 2	2 2	0 1	0	0 1	0	0	_
43	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	0	1 0 1 7	3	0	1 0	1 0	0	0	1.5
	CLINTON DAVIESS	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	15	2	1	1	2	0	0	2 1
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	0 1	0 3	0	0 0	0	1 3 1	0 8	0	0 1	0 4	0	0	
4 4	DOUGLAS	2	1	0	0	1	26	1	0	3	2	0	0	36
	OZARK WRIGHT	1 2	0 4	0 1	0 0	0	7 48	1 5	0 1	1 0	1 5	0	0	
45	LINCOLN	11	8	0	0	1	45	7	13	2	0	0	0	87
	PIKE Miscode	2 1	1 0	0	0	1	19 11	6	11 12	3 1	3 4	1	0	
Total		896	1,120	43	230	295	8,135	2,707		1,111	1,044	3,012	23	

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	9 8	1 2	0 0	0 0	0	1 8	0 4	0	0 2	6 4 1 4	0	0	75 38
	SCOTLAND	2	1	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	30	0	0	44
2	ADAIR	16	17	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	108	0	2	149
	KNOX LEWIS	0 30	1 2 9	0 0	5 24	0 4	1 13	2 5	5 5	0 1	36 106	0 1	0	61 198
3	GRUNDY	16	2	0	0	8	2	0	0	1	13	3	0	45
	HARRISON	29	0	0	4	10	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	46
	MERCER	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	15
4	PUTNAM ATCHISON	6 0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u> 1	0	0 1	2	0	0	0	12
4	GENTRY	6	0	0 0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 1 0
	HOLT	8	0	0	0	0	1	Ö	0	1	0	Ö	0	10
	NODAWAY	2	0	0	0	0	9	0	3	0	0	0	0	1 4
-	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	ANDREW BUCHANAN	2 53	0 1 0	0 0	0 0	0 1 4	1 37	0 3	0 0	2 0	8 65	0 7	0 0	13 189
6	PLATTE	14	2	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	24
7	CLAY	121	27	49	6	23	5 1	8	48	4	70	23	3	433
8	CARROLL	3	5	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
9	RAY CHARITON	7 1	0	0	0	0 1	38	7 0	0	<u>4</u> 0	10	<u>3</u> 0	1 0	74
3	LINN	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SULLIVAN	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	7
1 0	MARION	9	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	26
	MONROE RALLS	1 9 1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	3 0	0 0	4 3	0 0	0	28 13
11	ST. CHARLES	37	13	0	0	16	0	0	0	3	0	17	0	86
12	AUDRAIN	17	2	0	0	0	2	8	9	0	88	0	0	126
	MONTGOMERY	30	3	0	0	7	9	1	3	2	90	0	2	147
13	WARREN BOONE	14 139	39	0	<u>0</u> 2	34	126 210	5 0	<u>1</u> 0	<u>3</u>	2 84	<u>0</u> 31	0	153
13	CALLAWAY	46	39 14	2	2	34 1	40	0	0	0	20	9	0	543 134
1 4	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	4	0	11
	RANDOLPH	15	1	0	0	11	0	0	3	0	14	3	0	47
15	LAFAYETTE	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	16
16	SALINE JACKSON	37 299	11 425	171	222	0 51	<u>0</u>	0	3	0	13 972	63	0	64 2,210
17	CASS	10	2	0	0	10	19	4	10	0	12	6	1	74
	JOHNSON	10	3	0	0	2	1	6	7	1	0	0	0	30
18	COOPER	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	4	0	0	8
19	PETTIS COLE	4 5	1 0	0	0	<u>0</u> 5	0	0	<u>3</u> 0	2	<u>8</u> 7	1 14	0	13
20	FRANKLIN	99	2	0	0	0	8	11	0	0	/ 1	14 1	0	83 122
	GASCONADE	39	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	Ö	0	0	44
	OSAGE	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
21	ST. LOUIS COUNTY	601	318	12	5	122	213	3	8	52	0	381	0	1,715
22	ST. LOUIS CITY JEFFERSON	695 185	33	0	2 <u>5</u>	320 29	412 0	0	167 0	23 1	0	429 1	9	2,100 249
24	MADISON	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	28
	ST. FRANCOIS	13	10	0	0	8	9	0	146	0	0	0	0	186
	STE. GENEVIEVE	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4	0	0	16
2.5	WASHINGTON	10	1	0	0	4	0	0	12	0	2	3	0	32
25	MARIES PHELPS	1 35	0 1 9	0 3	0 0	0 4	3 0	1 0 4	0 3	1 4	0 1 9	0 1	0	15 92
	PULASKI	31	28	9	0	4 8	1	2	3 6	4 7	31	7	0	130
	TEXAS	32	3	0	Ö	2	11	1	12	7	61	1	0	130

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	, Adjust W/ Supervision	, Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE MILLER MONITEAU MORGAN	4 13 1 2 0	2 1 0 4 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 1 0	7 0 1 4 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 1 6	0 0 0 0	0 3 10 4 11	7 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 5 1 6
27	BATES HENRY ST. CLAIR	3 9 1	0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 8 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 2 0	12 14 26	2	0 0 0	4 5
28	BARTON CEDAR DADE VERNON	17 7 5 19	0 0 0 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 4	3 2 9 24	0 0 0 9	0 2 12 6	1 0 0 3	2 2 2 11	0 0 3 4	0 0 0 0	1 5 3 5 8 8
29	JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	67	0	3	0	1	
30	BENTON DALLAS HICKORY POLK	0 3 2 12	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 0 1	4 4 0 5	3 2 1 5 1 2 2 6	0 1 0 2	0 3 4 0	7 13 1 12		0 0 0 0	5 3 2 3 6 2
	WEBSTER	5	0	0	0	1	6	11	3	0	12		0	
31	GREENE BOLLINGER	100	7	<u>1</u>	1 0	<u>4</u> 5	7	11	110	0	282 1	195 0	0	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU PERRY	67 15	4	0	0	13 5	0	0 0	1	1	1 1	0	0 0	8 7 2 1
33	MISSISSIPPI SCOTT	3 0 1	38 1	1 1	0 0	4 0	1 4 0	1 0	27 0	0 0	7 8	0 0	0 0	11
3 4	NEW MADRID PEMISCOT	2 4 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	1 0	0 0	1 0	0	3	2	1 0	
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	2 39	6 0	1 0	1 0	0	0	2	0 7	1 1	6 2 3 1	6 9	0	8 1
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	17 13	6 0	0	0 1	0	3 0 7	3 3 1	1 5 0	9	5 3 1	0	0	115
37	CARTER HOWELL OREGON SHANNON	1 18 4 15	0 4 4 3	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 26 2 0	2 6 2 1	0 0 0 0	7 7 0 15	0 0 0 0	1 1 8 1 2	0 6 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 8 6 1 3
38	CHRISTIAN TANEY	22 19	0	0 1	0	1 0 8	0 2	0	2	0 1	7 21	0 9	0	
39	BARRY LAWRENCE	3 1	0 0	0 2	0	3 0	3 4	0 0	2 6	0 0	15 20	1 0	0	27 33
4 0	STONE MCDONALD NEWTON	8 62 112	0 4 0	0 49 74	0 0 3	0 0	0 2 9	0 1 0	0 0 5	5 3	32 11 23	0	0 0 0	134
4 1	MACON	28	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	38	23	0	9 4
42	SHELBY CRAWFORD DENT IRON REYNOLDS	15 19 3 5 3	0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 4 0	0 2 0 0 3	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 7 0 2 0	24 22 2 1 0		0 0 0 3 0	5 6 1 0 1 5
	WAYNE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	6
43	CALDWELL CLINTON DAVIESS	2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 2	3 6 0	11 2 1	6 0 0	0 0 0	4 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	8
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4 4	LIVINGSTON DOUGLAS OZARK	1 4 7	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 2 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 12 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	30
	WRIGHT	17	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	2	5	0	0	
45	LINCOLN PIKE	22 2	9 2	0 0	0	6 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	3 8 4
Total	Miscode	2 3,694	0 1,171	0 380	0 306	0 846	2 1,439	0 276	1 814	0 185	4 2,848	1,360	1 25	

CIRCUIT	Co Res.		DM	Н	DF	:S	DYS		Rela	ative	Priv Res.		Pul Age		Oth	ner	Miso	code	Total
CIRCOIT	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	Total
1	0	0%	0	0%	23	74%	6	19%	2	6 %	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	31
2	7	9%	0	0%	33	43%	2	3%	11	14%	1	1%	2	3 %	2	3%	19	25%	77
3	0	0%	0	0%	60	83%	10	14%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1 %	72
4	0	0 %	0	0%	3 1	100%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0%	3 1
5	64	40%	1	1%	56	35%	24	15%	15	9%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	161
6	0	0%	0	0%	8	31%	10	38%	6	23%	1	4 %	1	4 %	0	0%	0	0%	26
7	20	8 %	0	0%	64	24%	102	38%	53	20%	20	8%	0	0%	3	1 %	3	1 %	265
8	0	0 %	0	0 %	12	32%	26	68%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	38
9	1	6%	0	0%	10	59%	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	24%	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	12%	17
10	0	0 %	0	0%	39	53%	25	34%	4	5 %	1	1 %	0	0 %	3	4 %	1	1 %	73
11	1	0 %	0	0%	45	19%	138	58%	1	0 %	48	20%	0	0 %	0	0%	5	2%	238
12	0	0 %	4	4 %	48	47%	19	19%	16	16%	1	1 %	0	0 %	6	6%	8	8%	102
13	174	33%	7	1 %	256	49%	5 4	10%	23	4 %	9	2 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	524
1 4	0	0 %	0	0 %	38	78%	6	12%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	5	10%	49
1 5	0	0 %	0	0 %	52	81%	2	3 %	1	2 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	9	14%	6 4
16	349	43%	3	0%	327	40%	106	13%	10	1 %	12	1 %	0	0 %	9	1 %	0	0%	816
17	0	0 %	1	2 %	19	40%	22	47%	5	11%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	47
18	1	3 %	0	0 %	15	38%	21	54%	0	0 %	1	3 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	3 %	39
19	4	6%	6	9 %	38	59%	8	13%	7	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	6 4
20	7	3 %	0	0 %	155	77%	37	18%	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	202
2 1	47	4 %	1	0 %	174	17%	56	5%	289	27%	93	9%	1	0%	313	30%	79	8 %	1,053
22	0	0 %	0	0 %	714	68%	187	18%	40	4 %	107	10%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	1,048
23	0	0 %	0	0 %	202	73%	70	25%	0	0 %	1	0 %	0	0%	0	0 %	2	1 %	275
24	0	0 %	0	0 %	32	32%	63	64%	1	1%	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	1 %	2	2 %	99
25	0	0 %	0	0 %	86	58%	25	17%	3 1	21%	2	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1 %	148
26	2	2%	0	0 %	30	34%	52	60%	3	3%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	87
27	1	4 %	0	0%	13	52%	4	16%	5	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8 %	0	0 %	25
28	0	0%	0	0 %	66	56%	41	35%	5	4 %	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2 %	117
29	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
30	5	10%	0	0%	12	23%	17	33%	14	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	52
31	1 2 0	7%	0 0	0%	93	52%	5 9	33% 27%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	12	7 % 0 %	178 130
32	0	0%	0	0%	77 26	59% 36%	35 26	36%	15 11	12% 15%	0	2 % 0 %	0	0%	0	0%	10	14%	73
34	0	0%	0	0%	21	46%	∠6 14	30%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3	7 %	6	13%	7 3 4 6
35	0	0%	0	0%	11	18%	10	16%	33	53%	0	0%	0	0%	3	5 %	5	8%	62
36	0	0%	0	0%	18	50%	5	14%	11	31%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	36
37	1	2%	0	0%	41	72%	6	11%	9	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	57
38	0	0%	0	0 %	56	78%	15	21%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	72
39	0	0%	1	5%	8	42%	5	26%	1	5%	0	0%	2	11%	2	11%	0	0 %	19
40	0	0%	0	0%	105	49%	15	7%	36	17%	49	23%	1	0%	1	0%	6	3%	213
41	1	2%	1	2%	42	79%	1	2%	7	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	53
42	0	0%	1	1%	32	28%	77	66%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%	116
43	0	0%	0	0%	9	50%	3	17%	6	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	18
4 4	0	0%	0	0%	38	75%	7	14%	6	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	51
45	0	0%	0	0%	32	44%	38	52%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3 %	73
Miscode	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	6
Total	697	10%	26	0%;	3,269		1,452	21%	685	10%	362	5%	9	0%	351	5%	192	3 %	7,043

CIRCUIT	Super	vision Court	DM	н	DF	S	DYS		Priv		Pub Agei		Oth	ıρr	Misc	ode	Total
CIRCOTT	number		number		number	%	number	%	number		number		number		number		Total
1	231	55%	1	0%	70	17%	0	0%	115	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	417
2	273	91%	0	0%	28	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0%	0	0%	301
3	100	91%	1	1 %	2	2%	0	0%	7	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	110
4	191	99%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	193
5	687	99%	0	0%	9	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	696
6	261	95%	0	0 %	2	1 %	0	0%	6	2%	1	0 %	5	2%	0	0 %	275
7	370	66%	0	0 %	141	25%	0	0%	45	8 %	1	0 %	4	1 %	8	1 %	561
8	161	83%	0	0 %	20	10%	6	3%	1	1%	5	3%	0	0%	6	3%	193
9	52	72%	1	1 %	13	18%	0	0%	3	4 %	1	1 %	2	3%	1	1 %	72
10	264	98%	0	0 %	4	1 %	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	269
1 1	918	98%	2	0 %	13	1 %	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28	3 %	934
12	319	77%	10	2%	58	14%	3	1%	5	1%	1	0 %	17	4 %	4	1%	413
13	1421	96%	1	0 %	59	4 %	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	1,481
1 4	127	61%	0	0%	9	4%	0	0%	1	0 %	0	0 %	70	34%	5	2 %	207
1 5	58	92%	0	0 %	4	6%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	2%	0	0 %	63
16	1373	74%	0	0 %	456	25%	0	0%	15	1 %	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	1,844
17	677	88%	52	7%	16	2 %	0	0%	8	1 %	8	1 %	4	1 %	5	1 %	765
18	86	89%	0	0 %	8	8%	2	2%	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	1 %	6	6 %	97
19	284	100%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	285
20	512	97%	0	0 %	10	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	526
2 1	2040	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0%	0	0 %	2,040
22	560	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	560
23	394	91%	0	0%	39	9%	1	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0%	1	0%	434
24	304	85%	3	1 %	21	6%	4	1%	0	0 %	12	3 %	13	4 %	1	0%	357
25	533	83%	1	0%	79	12%	1	0%	0	0 %	2	0 %	26	4 %	12	2 %	642
26	340	96%	0	0%	12	3%	0	0%	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	1 %	3	1 %	354
27	149	62%	1	0%	3	1 %	5	2%	5	2%	6 4	27%	13	5%	5	2%	240
28	298	92%	2	1%	20	6%	1	0%	1	0 %	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0%	323
29	49	0%	0	0 %	10	0%	0	0%	1	0 %	1	0 %	27	0 %	0	0 %	88
3 0	115	51%	0	0 %	104	46%	0	0%	0	0 %	6	3%	0	0 %	3	1 %	225
3 1	1227	82%	1	0 %	63	4 %	19	1 %	9	1 %	179	12%	0	0 %	2	0 %	1,498
32	242	94%	0	0 %	5	2%	4	2%	4	2 %	0	0 %	3	1 %	0	0 %	258
33	116	73%	0	0%	37	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	4%	63	40%	159
34	137	64%	3	1%	2	1%	1	0%	5	2%	7	3%	58	27%	1	0%	213
35	259	94%	0	0%	12	4%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	275
36	179	75%	<u>1</u>	0 %	4 5 4 0	19%	<u>4</u> 0	2%	9	2%	7	3 %	<u>0</u> 1	0 %	<u>0</u> 1	0%	240
37	212	74%	· -	0%		14%	-	0%	-	3%	25	9%	•	0%	•	0%	288
38	314	99%	0	0%	0	0 % 7 %	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	318
39	203	89%	0	0%	17		0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	6	3%	10	4 %	228
40	38	57%	0	0 %	20	30%	0	0%	9	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	67
41	191	89%	0	0%	4	2%	3	1%	0	0%	16	7%	0	0%	0	0%	214
42	422	64%	170 3	26%	7	1%	2 0	0%	2	0%	57	9%	2	0%	4	1%	662
43	85	77% 98%		3 % 0 %	23	21% 1%		0 % 0 %	0 0	0 % 0 %	0	0 % 0 %		0%	0	0 % 0 %	111
4 4 4 5	111 142	98% 89%	0 0	0%	1 14	9%	0 0	0%	1	1%	0 0	0%	1 2	1 % 1 %	0	0%	113
4 5 Miscode	32	86%	1	3%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	159 37
	32 17,057	85%	255		1,506	8%	59	0%	261	1%	402	2%	265	1%	172	1%	19,977
iolai	17,007	00%	200	1 70	1,500	0 %	ນ ອ	U 70	201	I 70	402	Z 7/0	203	I 70	1/2	1 70	19,977

					Peace Disturbance			
					rpa		Miscellaneous	
			>		istı		nec	
		<u>o</u>	ert		е С	Ø	<u>=</u>	
		People	Property	Drug	eac	Status	isco	Total
CIRCUIT	COUNTY							
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	1 0 9	4 0 5	4 0	0 0	254 29	8 3 4 4	391 87
	SCOTLAND	17	32	0	0	92	5 4	195
2	ADAIR	38	130	0	2	259	184	613
	KNOX	105	23	0	1	24	7 4	227
	LEWIS	100	83	8	17	158	234	600
3	GRUNDY	49	58	6	0	42	54	209
	HARRISON MERCER	1 0 6	4 6 5	2	1 0	2 4 8	6 8 1 9	151 38
	PUTNAM	11	16	2	1	17	22	69
4	ATCHISON	7	20	1	3	1 4	7	52
	GENTRY	8	13	1	2	13	26	63
	HOLT	6	27	0	2	10	16	61
	NODAWAY WORTH	28 1	7 0 9	2	4 1	5 1 4	5 <i>7</i> 5	212 20
5	ANDREW	32	61	7	<u>1</u>	31	27	159
ľ	BUCHANAN	290	433	88	58	936	288	2,093
6	PLATTE	84	171	26	6	64	55	406
7	CLAY	305	643	125	62	318	607	2,060
8	CARROLL	38	41	2	0	44	37	162
9	RAY CHARITON	8 0 4	125 9	31	31 0	135 15	114 16	516 44
9	LINN	6	9	3	0	15	6	39
	SULLIVAN	8	11	0	0	23	17	59
1 0	MARION	118	185	22	34	217	71	647
	MONROE	6	25	1	1	32	42	107
4.4	RALLS	29	23	4	5	33	16	110
11	ST CHARLES AUDRAIN	746 55	1,215 169	175 4	118 16	1,251 98	432 160	3,937 502
12	MONTGOMERY	26	65	20	4	73	163	351
	WARREN	3 1	89	20	8	88	182	418
1 3	BOONE	439	830	92	7	1,168	810	3,346
	CALLAWAY	61	153	29	6	279	175	703
1 4	HOWARD RANDOLPH	56 154	26 146	1 10	9 73	21 155	21 87	134 625
15	LAFAYETTE	59	108	19	6	94	57	343
	SALINE	42	109	5	5	78	92	331
16	JACKSON	1,211	2,755	375	153	1,261	2,523	8,278
17	CASS	167	327	56	22	443	127	1,142
18	JOHNSON COOPER	76	136 112	1 6 4	15 8	147 116	4 6 2 4	436 296
10	PETTIS	3 2 8 5	228	8	15	213	7 5	624
19	COLE	55	226	32	15	205	140	673
20	FRANKLIN	207	546	6 5	3	454	226	1,501
	GASCONADE	20	3 1	7	1	18	52	129
0.4	OSAGE	9	19	2	0	9	27	66
21 22	ST LOUIS COUNTY ST LOUIS CITY	2,084 1,552	4,609 2,739	567 376	330 146	4,480 2,061	3,409 2,828	15,479 9,702
23	JEFFERSON	556	646	146	35	721	385	2,489
24	MADISON	21	58	3	4	71	78	235
	ST FRANCOIS	153	217	29	17	124	248	788
	STE GENEVIEVE	57	76	22	8	72	38	273
2.5	WASHINGTON	104	75	16	20	94	50	359
25	MARIES PHELPS	5 153	22 147	3 15	0 8	27 167	18 125	75 615
	PULASKI	73	164	23	13	375	167	815
	TEXAS	77	119	11	20	243	157	627
						·		

					nce			
					Peace Disturbance		Miscellaneous	
		Φ	erty		e Dis	Ø	llane	
CIDCUIT	COUNTY	People	Property	Drug	eace	Status	Aisce	Total
CIRCUIT 2 6	COUNTY CAMDEN	37	78	27	0	4 9	65	256
	LACLEDE	6 1	161	18	17	129	5 1	437
	MILLER MONITEAU	62 8	132 49	1 2 2	9	110 57	38 25	363 150
	MORGAN	21	75	6	4	96	48	250
27	BATES HENRY	3 0 4 5	76 76	5 13	6 9	102 89	33 64	252 296
	ST CLAIR	17	21	1	5	70	37	151
28	BARTON CEDAR	18 38	37 77	0 9	2 1 5	4 4 8 1	35 24	136 244
	DADE	16	21	6	0	23	57	123
	VERNON	99	190	18	17	283	174	781
29 30	JASPER BENTON	2 6 5	7 0 1 9	7 10	1 6 1	153 23	97 60	369 118
	DALLAS	17	63	16	2	35	66	199
	HICKORY POLK	1 26	9 92	0 7	0 5	1 4 5 4	28 73	52 257
	WEBSTER	45	113	10	20	43	73	303
31	GREENE	728	1,620	176	125	432	965	4,046
32	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU	5 182	15 381	0 5 1	3 72	5 290	19 184	47 1,160
	PERRY	42	114	10	4	65	47	282
33	MISSISSIPPI SCOTT	132 136	132 189	9 20	12 8	174 189	153 113	612 655
3 4	NEW MADRID	29	84	8	2	32	61	216
35	PEMISCOT DUNKLIN	57 88	82 216	1 5 2 0	17	23 99	29 136	210 576
33	STODDARD	49	116	21	8	90	126	410
36	BUTLER	94	178	26	54	178	160	690
37	RIPLEY CARTER	4 5 1 1	56 19	1 <u>2</u>	<u>4</u> 1	110 19	104 13	331 69
	HOWELL	108	222	12	15	551	133	1,041
	OREGON SHANNON	20 7	13 22	2	6 1	4 1 6 6	15 40	97 136
38	CHRISTIAN	90	206	35	4	87	100	522
39	TANEY BARRY	195 59	151 129	25 16	3 0 1 4	104 71	9 6 6 3	601 352
3 9	LAWRENCE	80	145	12	11	86	60	394
4.0	STONE	22	75	7	5	45	65	219
4 0	MCDONALD NEWTON	15 59	51 135	13 22	1 12	36 123	151 270	267 621
4 1	MACON	35	97	6	13	97	123	371
42	SHELBY CRAWFORD	18 140	20	<u>0</u> 45	<u>1</u> 6	24 157	92 124	155 697
	DENT	20	26	5	1	20	20	92
	IRON REYNOLDS	1 4 1	28 14	2 4	3 0	1 6 5	2 2 8	8 5 3 2
	WAYNE	2	18	0	0	7	13	40
43	CALDWELL	2	14	3	6	15	32	72
	CLINTON DAVIESS	9 3	21 11	1 1	0 5	2 0 2 1	1 4 1 2	6 5 5 3
	DE KALB	1	1	0	0	1	5	8
4 4	LIVINGSTON DOUGLAS	7 1.4	55 37	<u>4</u> 8	9	51 36	3 2 4 0	158 137
¬ ¬	OZARK	0	12	9	0	11	19	51
4.5	WRIGHT	48	64	8	12	66	58	256
4 5	LINCOLN PIKE	105 36	156 86	1 4 6	4 4 5	87 43	93 51	499 227
Miscode		24	49	5	6	37	26	147
Total		13,075	25,119	3,292	1,980	22,553	20,099	86,118

Circuit	Felony-A	Felony-B	Felony-C	Felony-D	Other Felony	Misdemeanor-A	Misdemeanor-B	Misdemeanor-C	Other Misdemeanor	Infr., Viol., Local	Status	A/N/C	Administrative	Miscode	Total
1	0 1	0 7	17 38	18	1 10	35 133	30 89	15 196	22 104	3 6	375	157 408	0 0	0	673 1,440
2	0	1	37	4 13	4	84	23	50	32	12	441 107	102	2	3	467
4	Ö	5	21	1	1	88	62	38	57	3	92	39	0	1	408
5	2	9	143	22	3	395	138	289	52	30	967	202	0	0	2,252
6	1	3	50	13	1	135	37	56	16	5	6 4	24	0	1	406
7	4	21	181	39	20	499	148	238	88	51	322	429	17	3	2,060
8	5 0	11 2	6 2 7	24	<u>5</u>	124	52 3	62 10	53 36	8	179 53	8 6 1 2	0	7 0	678 142
10	3	16	53	37	1	161	87	107	36	9	282	67	3	2	864
11	25	39	310	58	85	774	383	597	231	90	1,251	86	3	5	3,937
12	4	10	105	4 1	10	195	8 4	67	39	30	259	426	0	1	1,271
1 3	3	10	285	45	16	661	200	411	82	23	1,442	676	169	26	4,049
1 4	0	0	28	15	1	92	139	188	32	22	176	58	0	8	759
15	0	2	95	11	0	111	57	90	46	7	172	80	2	1	674
1 6 1 7	<u>68</u> 8	135 9	942 120	195 45	110	2,500 344	596 118	135 172	112	<u>8</u> 29	1,314 590	2,157 104	<u>0</u> 1	<u>6</u> 9	8,278 1,578
18	3	1	88	9	5	153	102	70	90	31	329	21	13	5	920
19	2	3	42	4	1	171	64	46	43	6	205	83	0	3	673
20	4	200	304	108	58	184	44	63	5 1	5	481	183	5	6	1,696
21	54		1,187	160	82	3,517		1,217	863	687	4,484	1,715	321	18	15,479
22	79		1,042	176	8 1	1,900		1,062	164	197	2,259	1,902	189	72	9,702
23	14	26	224	30	14	430	164	494	76	33	721	249	2	12	2,489
24	<u>4</u> 2	1 2 7	150 155	106 26	5 4	323 249	133	176 224	126	2 4 8	361 812	262	29	6 4	1,655
25 26	1	3	134	2 b 9	27	249	131	139	147	2	441	367 94	30	0	2,132 1,456
27	Ö	3	57	17	6	101	42	69	33	17	261	92	0	1	699
28	0	0	104	8	0	340	129	5	62	36	433	159	7	1	1,284
29	0	0	12	2	0	87	29	0	14	0	153	72	0	0	369
3 0	2	32	93	12	13	198	40	4 0	59	24	169	244	1	2	929
3 1	10	27	371	8 4	18	1,188	414	624	66	82	432	720	6	4	4,046
32	1	18	152	71	6	324	139	161	88	44	360	123	0	2	1,489
3 3 3 4	9 1	1 8 6	130 69	17 11	9 3	148 108	66 36	186 56	162 40	15 9	363 55	133 32	1	10	1,267 426
35	17	35	78	27	ა 1	165	122	44	116	12	189	172	0 5	3	986
36	1	3	62	3	4	121	134	124	109	3	288	168	0	1	1,021
37	1	3	86	81	1	153	85	71	28	7	677	148	0	2	1,343
38	3	6	87	47	1	347	100	172	5 5	4	191	102	2	6	1,123
3 9	0	0	63	8	6	250	115	86	78	42	202	101	5	9	965
40	3	12	57	1	2	130	46	32	81	1	281	241	0	1	888
4 1 4 2	0 2	1 9	28 153	22 13	0 3	81 181	31 59	27 155	39	6 12	121 206	168 92	0 1	2	526 946
4 2	1	1	19	4	ა 1	66	20	155	59 52	5	108	5 9 5 9	1	4	356
4 4	2	3	54	11	1	63	40	44	20	7	113	78	3	5	444
45	2	10	74	13	3	139	112	115	69	17	130	42	0	0	726
Miscode	1	2	13	2	1	34	11	15	15	2	37	12	1	1	147
Total	343 1	,082	7,582	1,665	627	17,794	6,194	8,253	3,936	1,674	22,948	12,947	819	254	86,118

Because not all circuits and counties use unique individual identification numbers, and because two separate circuits might prosecute the same child using different IDs, a true *statewide* count of the number of children certified cannot accurately be found. The numbers on this table have been unduplicated to represent individual children *within circuit*.

	White	e	Black		Other		
Circuit	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7	8	0	1	0	0	0	9
8	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	11	0	4	0	0	0	5
11	15	1	3	1	0	0	20
1 2 1 3	2 2	0	1 4	0 0	0 0	0	3 7
1 4	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	6	0	17	0	3	0	26
17	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
18	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
19	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
20	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
21	1 4	2	32	0	0	0	48
22	2	0	26	1	0	0	29
23	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
2 4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
25	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
26	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
27	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
28	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 0	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
3 1	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
32	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
33	1	2	4	1	0	0	8
3 4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
35	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
38	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
3 9	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
4 0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
43	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
4 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Miscode	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	124	11	98	3	3	0	239

Note: This table's totals have been unduplicated by youth within circuit, and therefore will not match the table on page 7 or appendices A & B of this report.

MISSOURI'S 45 JUDICIAL CIRCUITS

